



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,052	05/31/2001		Robert S. Matson	1810A-045 (81841.0192)	8141
26021	7590 06	6/04/2004		EXAM	INER
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.				LAM, ANN Y	
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	LES, CA 90071	1-2611		1641	

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

£		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/872,052	MATSON ET AL.
•	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ann Y. Lam	1641
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic ) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a th places the application in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Total address on which the petition under 37 CF	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 G	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: none.		
Claim(s) objected to: none.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>55-71</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10. Other:		Christyph L. Chin

CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN **PRIMARY EXAMINER** GROUP 1800/64/

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

**Advisory Action** 

Part of Paper No. 0604

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments adding the limitations "an unmodified end of" and "in the absence of a spacer arm" substantially change the scope of the claims and thus would require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: with respect to Applicant's arguments concerning the new limitations, the arguments are not considered because the amendments will not be entered for the reasons set forth above.